

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE	21 AUG 1987
TO: <i>Registry</i>			
ROOM NO.	BUILDING		
REMARKS:			
FROM:			
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION	

SECRET


21 AUG 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR: Inspector General

FROM:

Director of Security

SUBJECT: IG Report Regarding CI Staff



25X1

25X1

Carroll:

1. Thank you for the opportunity of responding to the IG report regarding CI Staff. ☐

25X1

2. I offer a rewrite of two paragraphs located at the bottom of page 64 and the top of page 65. The original draft had significant factual errors as it relates to the organization of CI business in the Office of Security. ☐

25X1

3. With respect to the last paragraph on page 65, your statement that "90 percent of OS's work on them is with the CI Staff and with SE Division," that statement is essentially correct if it refers to cases brought to our attention by the DO. If that percentage figure is intended to include also DO cases that we ourselves initiate by way of the RIP program, allegations, etc., then that percentage is inaccurate. ☐

25X1

4. The section starting on page 66 which deals with OS's overall relationships with the DO, is out of balance. It opens with the comment that relations are "quite good" but then goes on for some two paragraphs, in essence, complaining that the DO is not as forthcoming as we feel it should be. I agree that there could be some further improvement on the part of the DO in reporting information to OS, but my overall impression is that things are better now than they have been in the past. I also believe that things will continue to improve in this area. ☐

25X1

5. I have taken the liberty to attach a spread sheet which spells out the kinds of DO-originated information we find most critical to our CI investigations. As you can see, there is an imbalance of DO responsiveness to these needs. ☐

25X1

25X1

Attachments

25X1

OS 87 8308

SECRET

SECRET

SUBJECT: IG Report Regarding CI Staff

25X1

D/Sec,  (20 Aug 87)

25X1

Distribution:

Orig - Adse

1 - C/CI&SG

1 - DD/PS

1 - D/Sec

~~1~~ - OS Registry

**Page Denied**

Next 4 Page(s) In Document Denied

S E C R E T

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

IG Report Regarding CI Staff

FROM:

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

18 August 1987

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

DD/PS

8/18

9/19

J

2.

D/OS

AUG 20 1987

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

I have taken the liberty of rewriting two paragraphs of the draft IG report. These paragraphs are located at the bottom of page 64 and the top of page 65.

In response to your question regarding the accuracy of the sentence "Regarding actual CI cases arising out of the DO's work, about 90 percent of OS's work on them is with the CI staff and with SE Division, and the remainder with the other other DO area divisions," I would say that this statement is essentially correct when you focus on the fact that it refers to cases "arising out of the DO's work."

If I were permitted to comment on the impact on the information on page 66 of the draft, I would say that it is out of balance. It opens with the comment that relations are "quite good" but then goes on for some two paragraphs to, in essence, complain that the DO is not as forthcoming as we, the Office of Security, feel they should be. I agree that there could be some further improvement on the part of the DO in reporting information to OS, but my overall impression is that things are better now than they have been in the past. I also believe that things will continue to improve in this area.

This might be a good opportunity to lay out what we see as the information we need to receive on a regular basis from the DO. I have attached a copy of a spread sheet which spells out this.

25X1

REFERENCE

25X1

**CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY**  
**Office of the Inspector General**

14 Aug. 1987

D/OS

Jim, herewith a copy of the DPHC inspection report. I understand you have an advance copy of the Poly review. Also enclosed is that portion of the CI Staff inspection report which pertains to CI/OS relationships - pages 64 - 67. If you have any comments on this section, I would be happy to include them in the final report. Re the "CI Concept" paper - I would prefer you obtain this from the DDA. I gave him a copy of it several months ago. Anything else?

*mark make*



STAT



**Page Denied**

Next 3 Page(s) In Document Denied